

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,830	08/21/2003	Toshihiro Ise	. Q76394	6159
23373 75	590 11/16/2004		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			LE, THAO X	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	10/644,830	ISE, TOSHIHIRO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thao X Le	2814					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au	igust 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	-						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)		•					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/21/03</u>.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	`					

Art Unit: 2814

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-13, 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6352791 to Fink et al.

Regarding claim 1, Fink discloses a light emitting element in fig. 1 comprising at least one organic layer 6 which includes a light emitting layer 5, and which is disposed between a pair of electrodes 8/2, wherein at least one layer of the at least one organic layer contains at least one compound consisting essentially of carbon, fluorine, and nitrogen, formula (I) in column 2. The X element in the R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, and R<sub>3</sub> of formula (I) comprises halogen that would include fluorine, column 5 line 32.

Regarding claim 2, Fink discloses the light emitting element wherein the compound contains hydrogen atoms in an amount not greater than two hydrogen atoms per six carbon atoms. The  $(C_6N_6)$ - group in the formula (II) contains zero hydrogen atom, which less than two hydrogen atoms per six carbon atoms; thus the formula (II) would read on the limitation.

Art Unit: 2814

Regarding claims 3-5, Fink discloses the light emitting element wherein the compound consisting essentially of carbon, fluorine and nitrogen is a compound represented by the following general formula (A):

General formula (A)

$$X - (R)n$$

wherein in general formula (A), X represents an aromatic ring group or a hetero cyclic ring group, which have atoms selected from the group consisting of carbon, fluorine and nitrogen; R represents a group consisting of carbon and fluorine, or a group consisting of carbon, fluorine and nitrogen; n represents an integer of 1 or more; and when X contains no nitrogen, at least one R contains at least one nitrogen, wherein X further represents a single ring or a condensed ring, formula (I).

wherein in general formula (I), each of Ar<sup>1</sup>, Ar<sup>2</sup> and Ar<sup>3</sup> represents an aryl group consisting of carbon and fluorine, formula (I), column 2 and 5 line 32.

Regarding claims 7-9, Fink discloses the light emitting element, wherein the compound has a glass transition temperature in a range of 130°C to 400°C.

The recitation of 'glass transition temperature in a range of 130°C to 400°C', 'excited triplet state is utilized the compound has a minimum excitation triplet energy level of 65kca1/mo1 (272.35kJ/mo1) to 95 kcal/mol (398.05 kJ/moll' are only a statement of the inherent properties of the compound. When the structure recited in the

Art Unit: 2814

reference is substantially identical to that of the claims, claimed properties or functions are presumed to be inherent. *In re Best*, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

Regarding claim 10, Fink discloses the light emitting wherein the compound is used as an electron transporting material, column 1 line 35.

Regarding claim 11, 13, Fink discloses the light emitting element of claim 10, wherein the compound, which is used as an electron transporting material, is contained in an amount of 60 to 100% by mass in an organic layer containing the electron transporting material.

Although the prior art does not specially disclose the limitations '60 to 100% by mass' or '50 to 99.9% by mass', these feature are seen to be inherently teaching of that limitations because the layer electron-conducting layer 6 would have 100% and each layer 5 and 6 would have about 50% to 99.9% by mass.

Regarding claim 12, Fink discloses the light emitting element wherein the compound 6 is used as a host material in a layer containing a light emitting material 5, fig. 1, column 10 line 40.

Regarding claim 18-19, Fink disclose the light emitting element comprise organic layer 6.

The process limitations "heating vapor deposition, coating, or transferring method" in claim 6 do not carry weight in a claim drawn to structure. In re Thorpe, 277 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2814

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6352791 to Fink et al in view of US 6166125 to Sugiyama et al.

Regarding claim 6, Fink discloses the light emitting element comprise triazine having the formula:

But, Fink does not disclose the Ar<sup>1-3</sup> is selected from the group consisting of perfluorophenyl.

However, Sugiyama reference disclose the triazine ring, column 4 line 35, consisting of perfluorophenyl, column 18 line 11. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the perfluorophenyl substituent teaching of Sugiyama in Fink's triazine ring, because it would have created an optical plastic material having improved thermal resistance as taught by Sugiyama, column 11 lines 38-42.

5. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6352791 to Fink et al in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (APA).

Regarding claims 14-17, Fink does not disclose the light emitting wherein the one organic layer contains a phosphorescent material comprises metal complex consisting of iridium complex.

However, APA discloses organic EL element comprise iridium complex, specification page 1. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the iridium complex teaching of APA with Fink's organic layer, because it would have improve the device efficiency as taught by APA, specification page 1.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao X Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-1708. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272 -1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thao X. Le 04 Nov. 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER